P.R. No. 9249/06
Presented by Shri J.K. Gupta
dated 03/8/06

SINGLE BENCH

6

1

Chhod

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. (C) 4631 **Of 2006.**

PETITIONER :- Vipin Bihari Agrawal, son of Shri Ram Bhajan Agrawal Aged about 53 years, resident of Village Datan, Tahsil Palari, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :-
1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
2. Collector, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Palari, District Raipur (C.G.),
4. Tahsildar, Palari, Tahsil Palari, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
5. Radheshyam Sahu, Sarpanch, Village Panchayat Datan, Tahsil Palari, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh),

RE... COPY
3/8/06
CLE... H.C. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF PETITION :

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP(C)-4631/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	04.09.2006 Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Counsel for the petitioner. Shri N.K. Agarwal, Dy. A.G for the State on advance Copy. Heard on admission. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner very frankly submits that some mistakes have been committed in drafting the petition, for which, an application for amendment has already been moved. On merits, his main submission is that though respondent No.5 had withdrawn a sum of Rs.40,000/- on 27/11/2005 for making construction of C.C. road in sanctioned area of the Gram Panchayat bearing Khasra No.748, but the construction has not begun so far. He also submits that the petitioner, who is a citizen of the Gram Panchayat had made complaint to the prescribed authority, Raipur on 9/5/2006 for proceeding against respondent No.5, but no action has been taken in this regard. Shri Agrawal further submits that when the complaint made by the petitioner was not attended, he was constrained to file this Writ Petition. In the opinion of this Court, issuance of writ would not be proper. This petition is summarily dismissed. Shri N.K. Agrawal, Dy. A.G for the State. who is appearing on advance copy submits that since the allegations have been made against the Sarpanch, he would take necessary steps to apprise the concerned authority and to remind about taking appropriate steps in the matter, if required necessary under the law. The copy of this order shall be supplied to the State free of cost. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

(पाछ देखिये)